A Random Matrix model of black holes

Da Xu

*the University of Iowa, Department of Mathematics, Iowa City, IA, 52246,USA**

(Dated: June 23, 2008)

arXiv:0712.4326v2 [physics.gen-ph] 23 Jun 2008

Abstract

A random matrix model of black holes is given based on analysis of Gaussian complex ensembles, based on the generalization of chRMT of QCD. Spacetime freedoms are incorporated in terms of eigenvalues of the ensemble. Classical observables of black holes can be computed using this model and it satisfies asymptotic freedom and holographic principle.

PACS:04.60.-m;11.10.Jj;11.25.Yb

Keywords:Random Matrices; Lattice QCD;Black Holes; Asymptotic Freedom;quantum gravity

*Electronic address: dxu@math.uiowa.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Random matrices are matrices which entries are random variables. Random matrices were first introduced by Hsu [7] and then intensively studied by physicists in nuclear physics[10]. Random matrix theory(RMT), has been a very successful theory in the analysis of nuclear spectrum. Then it had been applied to condensed matter physics and recently in QCD physics,2D string theory and etc[9][18]. In [2], the authors conjectured that M theory is equivalent to $N \to \infty$ supersymmetric matrix quantum mechanics describing D_0 branes. RMT was concerned with black hole physics in [13] to estimate the information lost in black hole radiation by computing S matrix. In the present work, we shall propose a new idea to compute black hole radiation based on RMT.

II. THE STATISTICAL NATURE OF MEASUREMENT OF TIME

It has been recognized that there is a deep relation among general relativity, thermodynamics and quantum mechanics, since classical black hole thermodynamics was found at 1970s [5]. Although, it is manifest that a full quantum treatment of gravity has to involve thermodynamical arguments, the spacetime geometry and thermodynamics are dealt separately in unified theories so far. Since Hawking effect, which is mathematically equivalent to Unruh effect, reveals the thermodynamical properties of black holes, which is a new effect at large energy scale, compared to classical general relativity. Therefore, thermodynamical nature of spacetime or time, has to be considered in a consistent quantum gravity theory. [11] is concerned with the fundamental difficulty of quantum gravity from a philosophical and historical point of view.

In the present paper, we would like to relate the nature of time to how we measure time. Since 1949, the most accurate measurement of time is based on the mechanism of radiation of cesium atoms.One second is defined as the duration of 9,192,631,770 cycles of radiation corresponding to the transition between two energy levels of the ground state of the cesium-133 atom. Although, this accuracy is far away from plank scale, we still conjecture any measurement of time has to involve statistical behavior of a physical system. Therefore instead of writing down explicit time coordinate, we consider the statistical behavior of a gravitational system when we consider quantum gravity issues. In one word, we believe

gravitation is a probabilistic potential. We shall see this physical idea is realized by random matrix theory.

III. SPACETIME FREEDOM IN THE RMT MODEL OF BLACK HOLES

As we know, at high energies, QCD can be well understood by perturbation theory, by the virtue of asymptotic freedom. The chiral symmetry is restored at about 150 MeV by lattice QCD stimulation [8]. In [17], the authors showed that constraints imposed by chiral symmetry and its spontaneous symmetry breaking determine the structure of low-energy effective partition for the Dirac spectrum.The exact results for the low-lying eigenvalues of the QCD Dirac operator. The statistical properties of these eigenvalues are universal, which is a the property of chiral random matrix model. The partition function of chRMT [19] is

$$Z^{\beta}_{N_f,\nu}(m_1,\cdots,m_{N_f}) = \int DW \prod_{f=1}^{N_f} \det(D+m_f) e^{-\frac{N\beta}{4} Tr\ v(W^+W)}, \tag{1}$$

where β is the Dyson index,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iW \\ iW^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

and W^+ is an $n \times m$ matrix with $\nu = n - m$ and $N = n + m$. N_f is the number of flavors of quarks.

The masses of quarks can be thought of taking complex values to involve the θ angle which causes CP violation(see [18]). Therefore $D + m_f$ is neither hermitian, nor anti hermitian either. It is tempt to generalize this partition function to black hole states. At extremely high energy or high temperature, it is meaningful to enlarge the chiral symmetry group $\mathrm{SU(N_f)} \times \mathrm{SU(N_f)}$ to $\mathrm{U(2N_f)}$. Use the same W to denote arbitrary $2N_fN_f$ complex matrices. The partition function of this black hole is

$$Z_B(N) = \int DW \det W e^{-\frac{\beta}{4} Tr\ v(W^+W)}. \tag{3}$$

The parameter N can be considered as the dimensionless volume of spacetime; v is the potential function. It is reasonable to assume the spacetime freedoms are incorporated to

the complex eigenvalues of the random matrix W, because the Dirac operator

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iW \\ iW^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$$

invovles partial derivatives on spacetime coordinates. This is the essential assumption of the present work. Integrating out the gauge freedoms, we get the partition function in terms of eigenvalues

$$Z_B(N) = \int d\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i e^{-\frac{\beta}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i} \prod_{i<j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|^2, \tag{4}$$

where λ_is are complex eigenvalues(see chapter 15, [10]). It has been shown that the microscopic eigenvalue correlation at 0(which is the normalized correlation such that the mean spaceing is unit at the point) is universal. So we set $v = Tr(WW^+)$.

IV. ASYMPTOTIC FREEDOM PROPERTY

The asymptotic behavior of the coupling constant in strong interaction is one of the most important features in QCD. In the context of renormalization group[21], the effective coupling constant of QCD has asymptotic freedom, because there $n_f = 6$ flavors which makes $\beta(g) = -\frac{g^3}{4\pi^2}(11/4 - 1/6n_f) + O(g^5)$. We shall provide a alternative expanation of asymptotic freedom using the present model. So when the energy $E \to \infty$, when the gavitational effect has to arise, then expalnation is not satifactory. But in the present model, spacetime freedoms are the quaternion eigenvalues of the random matrix. As we know, as the rank of the random matrix N goes to infinity,the normalized(mean spaceing is normalized to be unit) two point correlation function

$$R_{22}(r) = 1 - (\frac{\sin(\pi r)}{\pi r})^2 \tag{5}$$

, where $r = |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|$. (see[10]). R_{22} goes to 1 as r goes to infinity, and goes to zero as r goes to zero. The bulk of this normalized two point correlation function is a constant equal to 1. Since the fermion derterminant in the integrand of the partition function is separable for the eigenvalues. Therefore the eigenvalues in the bulk are statistically independent. This fact corresponds the asymptotic freedom in QCD. In reality, the rank N is finite. then the correlation functions vanish when r is large.

V. GAUSSIAN ENSEMBLES

A. the U(n) symmetry of Gaussian ensembles

In the analysis of complicated nuclear spectrum, some statistical distribution on eigenvalues of GOE(Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble) are computed[10]. The eigenvalues corresponds to nuclear energy levels which are always positive. We shall use Gaussian complex ensembles to represent black holes.

Gaussian complex ensemble is defined on the space of $N \times N$ matrices with complex entries by the following properties:

(1) The probability $P(H)dH$ that a system of E_{R4G} will belong to the volume element

$$dH = \prod_{\gamma=0}^{2} \prod_{1 \le k,j \le N} dH_{kj}^{\gamma}, \tag{6}$$

where H_{ij}^{γ},$\gamma = 0, 1, 2, 3$ which are the four components of the quaternion H_{ij}, is invariant under every automorphism

$$H \to U^{+} H U \tag{7}$$

on the space of quaternion matrices, where U is any unitary matrix.

(2) Various linearly independent components of H are also statistically independent.

As we know, an invariant of matrix spaces under similarity transformation only depends on the first N traces. However, we shall use a stonger lemma. Smooth invariants of $N \times N$ matrices under similarity transformation,only depends on all the N eigenvalues of a matrix. In fact, $N \times N$ complex quaternion matrices is a $2N^2$ real dimensional manifold. Since nonsingular matrix space is N^2 dimensional, the action of the center of nonsingular matrices which are diagonal nonsingular matrices, on $N \times N$ complex matrices is invariant on diagonal complex matrices which is of real dimension $2N$. So the quotient orbifold is $2N$ real dimensional. The orbiford is just diagonal matrix modular by the permutation group. We know for a continuous function defined on a matrix space which is invariant under similarity transformations must be a function of all the eigenvalues of the matrix.However, if we only assume that the similarity transformation restricted as unitary matrices, we have to require the function is analytic. Without proving, we state the following lemma. *ρ is a analytic function on the space of complex matrices, which is invariant under unitary similarity transformation. Then ρ only depends on the eigenvalues of a complex matrix.*

We generalize Porter and Rosenzweig theorem [16]. *$P(H)$ is an ensemble on the space of $N \times N$ matrices with complex entries, then $P(H)$ is a Gaussian complex ensemble, if and only if*

$$P(H) = exp(-a\ tr(HH^+) + bRe\ tr(H) + c), \tag{8}$$

where a, b, c are real and a is positive, c is a normalization constant

In fact, since P is invariant under unitary transformations, we shall consider how H is changed under rotation transformations which is a subgroup of unitary matrices. Let

$$H = U^+ H^{'} U, \tag{9}$$

where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 & ... & 0 \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

Differentiating the above equation, we get

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial U^+}{\partial \theta} H^{'} U + U^+ H^{'} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta} \tag{11}$$

$$= \frac{\partial U^T}{\partial \theta} U H + H U^T \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta}. \tag{12}$$

And

$$\frac{\partial U^+}{\partial \theta} U = (U^T \frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta})^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{13}$$

Since each entry is independent random variables,

$$P(H) = \prod_{\gamma} \prod_{1 \le i,j \le N} f_i^{\gamma} j(H_{ij}^{\gamma}). \tag{14}$$

By the definition of Gaussian complex ensemble, $P(H)$ is invariant under the transform of U, which yields

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(H)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{\gamma,i,j} \frac{\partial \ln f_{ij}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{ij}^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial H_{ij}^{\gamma}}{\partial \theta} = 0. \tag{15}$$

If we write it explicitly, we have the following equation

$$\sum_{\gamma}(-\frac{\partial \ln f_{11}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{11}^{\gamma}}+\frac{\partial \ln f_{22}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{22}^{\gamma}})(H_{12}^{\gamma}+H_{21}^{\gamma})+\sum_{\gamma}(\frac{\partial \ln f_{12}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{12}^{\gamma}}+\frac{\partial \ln f_{21}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{21}^{\gamma}})(H_{11}^{\gamma}-H_{22}^{\gamma})$$
$$+\sum_{\gamma}\sum_{k\geq 3}(-\frac{\partial \ln f_{1k}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{1k}^{\gamma}}H_{2k}^{\gamma}+\frac{\partial \ln f_{2k}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{2k}^{\gamma}}H_{1k}^{\gamma})+\sum_{\gamma}\sum_{k\geq 3}(-\frac{\partial \ln f_{k1}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{k1}^{\gamma}}H_{k2}^{\gamma}+\frac{\partial \ln f_{k2}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{k2}^{\gamma}}H_{k1}^{\gamma}) \tag{16}$$

These for sum terms depend on different variables. So each of them is a constant and the sum of the constants is zero. For each γ, we have an equation

$$-\frac{\partial \ln f_{1k}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{1k}^{\gamma}}H_{2k}^{\gamma}+\frac{\partial \ln f_{2k}^{\gamma}}{\partial H_{2k}^{\gamma}}H_{1k}^{\gamma}=C, \tag{17}$$

Where C is a constant. C must be zero because the first term of (2.11) is a product of H_{2k}^{γ} with a function of H_{1k}^{γ}, and the second term is a product of $H\gamma_{1k}$ with a function of H_{2k}^{γ}. Therefore we can write

$$f_{1k}^{\gamma}=\exp(-a(H_{1k}^{\gamma})^2+c(f_{1k}^{\gamma}), \tag{18}$$

where a is a positive real constant and $c(f_{1k}^{\gamma})$ is a real constant. By the transform of switching the ith and the jth columns and rows $(i<j)$, we can get that

$$f_{ij}^{\gamma}=\exp(-a(H_{ij}^{\gamma})^2+c(f_{ij}^{\gamma})), \tag{19}$$

and since the sum of the first two terms is zero, we have

$$f_{ii}^{\gamma}=\exp(-aH_{ii}^{\gamma}+bH_{ii}^{\gamma}+c(f_{ii}^{\gamma})), \tag{20}$$

where b and $c(f_{ii}^{\gamma})$ are constants.

By fixing the unitary matrix U to be a diagonal matrix with the entry at the ith column and ith row equal to $\vec{i}$, $\vec{j}$, or $\vec{k}$, we get for any $i<j$, γ,up to a constant factor

$$f_{ij}^{\gamma}=\exp(-aH_{ij}^{\gamma}), \tag{21}$$

and

$$f_{ii}^{0}=\exp(-a(H_{ii}^{0})^2+bH_{ii}^{0}),$$
$$f_{ii}^{1}=\exp(-a(H_{ii}^{1})^2),$$
$$f_{ii}^{2}=\exp(-a(H_{ii}^{2}),$$
$$f_{ii}^{3}=\exp(-a(H_{ii}^{3}). \tag{22}$$

Therefore we are able to write $P(H)$ by

$$P(H) = \exp(-a\ trHH^+ + b\ Re(trH) + c), \tag{23}$$

where c is a constant.

Conversely, if $P(H) = \exp(-Na\ tr(HH^+) + Nb\ Re(trH) + c)$, it is straight forward to compute the correlation function of any two components of two entries or one entry. Then the computation shows that all the components of all the entries are statistically independent.

B. joint distribution of eigenvalues

The n point correlation function is defined by ([10])

$$R(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n) = \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} \int P_N(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_N) d\lambda_{n+1} \cdots \lambda_N. \tag{24}$$

As shown in [10], the normalized two point correlation fuction(in which the mean spacing at the origin is normalized to 1), is equal to $1 - \frac{\sin(\pi r)}{\pi r}$. Here we can prove for n point correlation fuction goes to a constant, if $|r_i - r_j| \to \infty$ for all i, j; it goes to zero, if $|r_i - r_j| \to 0$ for all i, j. In fact, the normalized n point correlation function

$$R_{norm}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \det(\frac{\pi}{(2N)^{1/2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \phi_k(x_i)\phi_k(x_j))_{1 \le i,j \le n} \tag{25}$$

As $N \to \infty$, $\frac{\pi}{(2N)^{1/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \phi_k(x_i)\phi_k(x_j) \to \frac{\sin(\pi r)}{\pi r}$, where $r = \frac{(2N)^{1/2}}{\pi}|x_i - x_j|$. Therefore we get the conclusion.

C. how the $U(N)$ symmetry is breaking

If the matrix elements are exactly gaussian, as we have proved, then the gaussian complex ensemble has a $U(N)$ symmetry. However, physically the matrix elements are not necessarily gaussian and this fact doesn't change the correlation function(Wigner's conjecture). When all the eigenvalues are small in a ball of origin, $U(N)$ symmetry is approximated; when the eigenvalues are far from the origin, the $U(N)$ symmetry is then broken.

VI. OBSERVABLES OF BLACK HOLES

In this model, gravitational phenomena can be understood as following: we consider two gravitational systems in one Gaussian unitary ensemble, their spacetime distance observables correspond to the norm of the substraction of eigenvalues of this ensemble. When the eigenvalues' norm are sufficiently large, the joint distribution probability becomes smaller, then the classical gravitational phenomena arises;If the eigenvalues are restricted in a small vicinity of the origins, then because of the existence of the fermion determinant, there is a strong repulsion of the these eigenvalues from 0, which corresponds the black hole radiation.

We are going to find the thermodynamics of black hole physics in terms of random matrix theory. Classically, there are four thermodynamical laws in classical black hole physics which clearly indicate the thermodynamical nature of quantum gravity. A static neutral black holes is determined by its mass M, angular momentum J and angular velocity Ω_H we have the generalized Smarr formula

$$M = 2\Omega J_H + \frac{\kappa A}{4\pi}, \tag{26}$$

where A is the area of the horizon. In our present model, the symmetry is $U(N)$ which is maximized. Therefore the angular velocity is zero. Since in the present random matrix model, all the matrix elements are statistically independent, then we know the entropy

$$S = C_1 N^2/\sqrt{a}. \tag{27}$$

where C_1 is the constant which equals to the entropy of each matrix element. In classical black hole thermodynamcis,

$$S = \frac{A}{4\hbar} = \frac{\pi r^2}{\hbar}, \tag{28}$$

where r is the radius of the black hole.

In information theory, for smooth probability distribution, there are alternative definition of entropy which is called relative entropy or KullbackCLeibler divergence. But here we use another definition because it is approximate to the shannon entropy when a is not large.

The continuous version of shannon's entropy can be negative. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} I(P(H)) &= -\int P(H)\ln P(H)dH \\ &= -\int \exp(-atr(HH^+)+bRetr(H))(\frac{a}{\pi})^{2N^2}\exp(\frac{Nb^2}{4a}) \\ &(-atr(HH^+)+bRetr(H)+2N^2\ln a-2N^2\ln\pi+\frac{Nb^2}{4a})\prod_{\gamma=0}^{3}\prod_{1\le i,j\le N}dH_{ij}^{\gamma} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2^{4N^2}}-2N^2\ln\frac{a}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we assume $a=1, b=0$.

In the present model,if we define the mass M and r^2 proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} &N\langle z_1^2\rangle_{Z_B(N)} \\ =&NC_{N2}\int_{\mathbb{C}}|z_1|^2e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}|z_i|^2}\prod_i|z_i-z_i^*|^2\prod_{i<j}(|z_i-z_j|^2|z_i-z_j^*|^2dz, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where C_{N2} is the normalization constant of GUE. If we put the parameter a in front of $\sum_{i=1}^{N}|z_i|^2$ in the joint probability distribution which is denoted by $P(a)$, then its normalization constant is proportional to $a^{N^2+N}N!(2\pi)^N\prod_1^N\Gamma(2j)$(see chapter 17,[10]), i.e.,

$$\langle P(a)\rangle = const\ a^{N^2+N}N!(2\pi)^N\prod_1^N\Gamma(2j). \tag{30}$$

Here the constant doesn't depend on a. Differentiating both sides, we get

$$M\sim r^2\sim N^2 \tag{31}$$

A. holographic principle

Gerard 't Hooft proposed that in quantum gravity theoryl, the information of a gravitational system in spacetime is totally determined by the area of the surface [6]. His conjecture is based on the entroply analysis of classical black hole theromodynamics. From the present model, the information or the degrees of the freedom of the underlying system is equal to the product of N^2 with a constant, which is proportional to the surface area $A=4\pi r^2$, where r here is the schwartz radius in.

B. the instability of Kerr black holes

In this stationary black hole model, we have seen that the black holes are neutral, which means a black hole will evaporate its charge first than other matters. This is because if a charged black hole is in a stationary state, it has $SU(N)$ symmetry. However the proof of the theorem indicates that the $SU(N)$ symmetry enhanced to a $U(N)$ symmetry. Thus this black hole in fact is neutral.

VII. CONCLUSION

The issue of black hole is dealt with in the context of random matrix theory in this paper. Spacetime geometry and degrees of freedom are combined together by the virtue of Gaussian complex ensembles. This model also is also an asymptotically free theory. Classical black hole observables can be computed in this model and holographic principle is also preserved. However, how the four dimensional spacetime freedom arise is related to the $U(n)$ symmetry breaking process is an important issue. We would like to expect and continue to work on this problem.

---

[1] Christopher S. Kochanek (August 1996). ""Is There a Cosmological Constant?"". The Astrophysical Journal 466 (2): 638-659.

[2] T.Banks,W.Fisher,S.H.Shenker,L.Susskind, M theory as a Matrix model:conjecture, hep-th/pdf/9610/9610043v3

[3] R. Balian, Nuov. Cim. 57 (1968) 183.(maximul entropy principle)

[4] Ginibre J.,Statistical ensembles of complex,quaternion and real matrices, J.Math. Phys. 6, 440-449

[5] S.W.Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, Comm. Math. Phys. Vol 43,199.

[6]

[7] Hsu P.L., On the distribution of roots of certain determinantal equations, Ann. Eugenics 9,250-258.

[8] Karsch F. Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B83C 84:14 (2000)

[9] Emil J. Martinec, Matrix Models and 2D String theory,Applications of Random Matrices in Physics,Springer.

[10] Madan Lal Mehta, Random Matrices,third Edition.

[11] Da Xu, The Emergence of time and observable physics, arXiv:0706.3475

[12] W.H.Oskay,et al., Single-Atom Optical Clock with high Accuracy, Phys. Rev. Lett,PRL 97,020801(2006).

[13] Don N. Page,Information in Black hole Radiation,Phys Review Lett, Vol 71,No.23.

[14] Penrose, Foundation of Statistical Mechanics-a deductive treatment.

[15] Eric Poisson, A relativist's Toolkit, Cambridge University Press 2004.

[16] Porter C.E., Rosenzweig N., Statistical Properties of atomic and nuclear spectra, Ann. Acad. Sci. fennicae, Ser. A, VI Physica 44,1-66

[17] J.J.M. Verbaarschot,T.Wettig,Random matrix theory and chiral symmetry breaking in QCD,Annu. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 2000. 50:343C410.

[18] J.J.M.Verbaarschot, Chiral Random Matrix Theory and Integrability,Appication of Random Matrices in Physics, Springer.

[19] Shuryak EV, Verbaarschot JJM. Nucl. Phys. A560:306 (1993)

[20] Verbaarschot JJM. Phys. Rev. Lett. 72:2531 (1994)

[21] Robert M. Wald, Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime and Black Hole Thermodynamics, Chicago Lectures in Physics.

[22] Steven Weinberg, the Quantum Theory of Fields, Volume 2, Chapter 18